

## Late sowing - weak harvest?

Is delayed sowing a harbinger of a reduced harvest?

It is known from many years of sowing time trials that late sowing dates tend to lead to weaker beet yields, as favourable growing time is simply lost in the important phase of youth development. What is missing at the front is almost impossible to get out at the back.

We have looked at data from practice on this topic: We used the campaign results of the Nordzuckerwerk Schladen (Lower Saxony) and phenological data of the German Weather Service (DWD) from 2000 to 2022. The parameters correlated were beet yield (RE) and reporting date of the start of beet sowing from 4 regionally relevant stations of the DWD. The agricultural instant reporters report the date in the Julian day of the year format, with 1 January being day 1 and 31 December day 365.

To investigate the effect of late and early sowing dates, the mean value of the five earliest (red data points) and latest (blue data points) dates in each case was set in relation to the long-term plant mean (Fig. 1). The correlation **cannot be statistically confirmed**, but it shows the general tendency towards weaker beet yields with later sowing dates. In the long-term average, sowing was started on day 94, resulting in a beet yield of 66 t/ha..

Table 1 shows the sowing dates and beet yields of the five earliest and latest years of the period from 2000 to 2022. The mean values were set in relation to the long-term campaign results. The early years were 6.6 % above the average beet yield, the late years 12.2 % below:

Early sowing	Late sowing		
Year	<b>Jul-</b> day	RE t/ha	Year
2003	88,5	58,3	2001
2011	88,0	70,6	2006
2014	79,5	79,3	2008
2015	86,0	75,6	2013
2022	84,0	70,0	2018
Mean	85,2	70,8	Mean
rel.		+6,6%	rel.
However, the data also sho date alone, because the yie result is shown by the years long-term regional average. average beet yield was 57.1 The decisive factor for this of alone, more than 310 mm o the multi-year average ( <b>Fig.</b> readers will remember patch Harvesting work was delayed <b>Conclusion</b> : Delayed sowir foundation for this is still laid things at first and to get the	w that it is not sufficient Id-determining paramete 2002 and 2021. In both Neve theless, the beet t/ha, in 2021, with the s difference was the weath f precipitation were mea <b>2</b> ). In October and Nov nes of water in the fields ed or prevented. ag, into early May, is not at sowing time. So her seed into the ground ur	to derive a prognosis on the harvest r ers are manifold. The influence of the y years sowing started on day 89, i.e. 5 yields show a difference of over 45 % same sowing date, 83.7 t/ha. her. The year 2002 literally fell into the usured at the DWD station in Helmsted ember there was above-average addit where ducks fet at home. It did not d r necessarily a harbinger of a reduced e, despite the perceived pressure, it is ider the best possible conditions.	result from the sowing year effect on the final 5 days earliet than the ! In 2002, the factory water. In July and August It, far more than double tional water. Some to the beets any good. harvest! However, the s important not to rush
Data: Campaign data: Nord stations Braunschweig (662	zucker, Schladen plant, ). Helinstedt (8585). Ev	Phenological data: German Weather essen (8606), Gl. Vahlberg (8609), cli	Service, DWD CDC 2023; matic data: <b>G</b> erman

Weather Service, DWD CDC 2023; Helmstedt station (2120; 13777).





Abbildung 1: Ertrag und Jahr



© Copyright 2024 Strube D&S GmbH

Page 3 of 3